UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,337	07/26/2006	Pascal Joubert Des Ouches	128855	4835
²⁷⁰⁴⁹ OLIFF & BERI	7590 07/06/201 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	ANDERSON, AMBER R		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27049@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/587,337	JOUBERT DES OUCHES, PASCAL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	AMBER R. ANDERSON	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ar</u>	oril 2010.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i>)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6,8,9,14 and 15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,10,11,13,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendment filed April 8, 2010 has been reviewed and considered. Claims 1-11 and 13-17 are currently pending of which Claims 6, 8, 9, 14, and 15 have been withdrawn from consideration and all Claims have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 7, 10, 11, 13, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by March (USPN 5,544,367).

Regarding Claim 1, March discloses protective helmet (10) comprising a deformable internal foam liner (Col. 4, lines 60-64 wherein the foam is an expanded foam and thus is deformable), a plurality of rigid external shell segments arranged on the foam liner (Fig. 1-5) so as to form at least one crown segment (48, 78), at least one occipital segment (44, 45, 64, 65) and a plurality of transverse side segments (42, 43, 46, 47, 62, 63, 66, 67), and joining means (21-27) made of a flexible material performing joining between the shell segments (Fig. 4, Col. 6, lines 26-37), and the joining means

being directly attached to adjacent shell segments of the plurality of rigid external shell segments (wherein the chambers 21-17 connect the external shell segments to one another), wherein the shell segments and the joining means made of flexible material are joined to the foam liner (via the segments 21-27) in such a way as to enable a slight sliding between the foam liner and at least a part of the shell segments (wherein the elastic material is attached at its lateral margins to the external shell segments allowing a slight sliding of the outer shell segments to the foam liner which is attached to the inner shell segments).

Regarding Claim 2, March discloses comprising at least one front segment (41, 61).

Regarding Claim 3, March discloses comprising at least four transverse side segments (42, 43, 46, 47, 62, 63, 66, 67).

Regarding Claim 7, March discloses comprising a textile surface covering the shell segments (21-27).

Regarding Claim 10, March discloses wherein the joining means made of flexible material are formed by strips joining the shell segments to one another (Col. 5, lines 10-21; wherein the chambers are strips of material forming chambers, 21-27).

Art Unit: 3765

Regarding Claim 11, March discloses wherein the strips are made of elastomer (Col. 5, lines 10-21).

Regarding Claim 13, March discloses wherein the foam liner comprises a plurality of cut-outs offset with respect to the separating gaps between the shell segments (Fig. 4 & 5, wherein the liner is cut into segments creating cutouts).

Regarding Claim 16, March discloses wherein the foam liner is made of polymer foam of the expanded polypropylene type presenting good compression shockabsorbing and flexion elasticity characteristics (Col. 5, lines 2-7).

Regarding Claim 17, March discloses comprising a plurality of additional shell segments joined to the foam liner and arranged facing the separating gaps between the shell segments (71-77).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over March (USPN 5,544,367) in view of Howard (USPN 3,087,166).

March discloses the invention substantially as claimed above. However, March does not disclose comprising a headband adjustment means fixedly secured at least to the occipital segment. Howard teaches a flexible protective helmet (Fig. 1-3) with multiple panels (5, 6) connected to each other by elastic strip (7) and further comprises a headband adjustment means (20, 21, 35) secured to the occipital segment (Fig. 2 & 3, through holes 36-39 and wherein it is fixedly secured in that when the device is tied it cannot be taken out of the holes) in order to further tighten the helmet to the wearers head to provide a more snug and comfortable fit and prevent shifting of the helmet.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the helmet of March with an headband adjustment means, as taught by Howard, in order to further tighten the helmet to the wearers head to provide a more snug and comfortable fit and prevent shifting of the helmet.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over March (USPN 5,544,367) and Howard (USPN 3,087,166) in view of Shifrin (USPN 5,515,546).

The combination of March and Howard disclose the invention substantially as claimed above. Howard further discloses wherein the adjustment means comprise a lace joining the shell segments to one another (20, 21, 35). Howard discloses a knot as

the means to tighten and loosen the helmet (Fig. 1 & 3). Howard does not disclose a knurled knob cooperating with the lace and actuating tightening and loosening of the helmet. Shifrin teaches a helmet (Fig. 1) which comprises shell segments and pads (10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20) that are attached to each other via strips of material (26, 32, 34) and lace (40) that are tightened and loosened by a knurled knob (60) so as to easily loosen and tighten the helmet without undue effort.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the combination helmet of March and Howard with a knurled knob, as taught by Shifrin, in order to easily loosen and tighten the helmet without undue effort

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/587,337

Art Unit: 3765

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to AMBER R. ANDERSON whose telephone number is

(571) 270-5281. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 8am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/AMBER R ANDERSON/

Examiner, Art Unit 3765

/GARY L. WELCH/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3765

Page 7

June 29, 2010